

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/701,065 | 11/05/2003 | Peter Boda | 59643.00345 | 6296 | |
| 32294 SOUIRE SAN | 7590 04/10/200 IDERS & DEMPSEY L | EXAMINER | | | |
| 14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT | | | GARY, ERIKA A | | |
| | NER, VA 22182 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 2617 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 04/10/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/701,065 | BODA, PETER | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Erika A. Gary | 2617 | | |

| | | 2011 | |
|--|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 27 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i | affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | e of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai | ling date of the final rejecti | on. |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | TETINOTNEI ET WAST | ICCO WITTING |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply or r than three months after the mailing | nt of the fee. The appropri | ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must b | e filed within two month | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), | to avoid dismissal of th | e appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | | | ecause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | OTE below); | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially | reducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally | ejectod claims | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | ejected ciainis. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | Compliant Amendment (| (PTOL-324) |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | sompliant / unonamont | (1 1 OL-024). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a | | e timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). | | | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ vided below or appended. | will be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-33,35,36 and 41</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | • | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>37-40</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | Abelen and the date of Clare | A1. () | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affid | Notice of Appeal Will <u>no</u> avit or other evidence is | t be entered and necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under app | eal and/or appellant fai | Is to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio | n of the status of the claims after | entry is below or attach | ied. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | • | | ice because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| 13. | | | |
| · | | • • | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Borella and Sundar do not teach the limitations of independent claims 37 and 40. However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees and maintains that the claim limitations are met by the cited portions of the references as outlined in the final office action.

ERIKA A GARY PRIMARY EXAMINER